



Chemtrails and Covid-19: Two Faces of Evil

Mark Whiteside, M.D., M.P.H.

Florida Department of Health, Key West, FL 33040 USA

J. Marvin Herndon, Ph.D.

Transdyne Corporation, San Diego, CA 92131 US

ABSTRACT

Chemtrails and Covid-19, two faces of Evil, threaten all life on our planet solely for political reasons. If we are to have a viable future on this planet, a critical mass of humanity must wake up to the atrocities of the ongoing chemtrail and biological operations. People must break out of their mass formation hypnosis and distractions, start to look up, and bear witness to the terrible suffering of the natural world around us. We are not separate entities from the rest of the biosphere: if the forests die, the wildlife dies, and the oceans die, we too will die. Our time is short – action is urgently needed now to salvage what remains of our natural life support systems and give our children a healthy and viable future.

Chemtrails crisscrossing the skies have been witnessed by untold millions (if not billions) since the beginning of the 21st Century, and yet the masses are repeatedly told that these jet-sprayed particulate trails are jet-exhaust “contrails” consisting of harmless ice crystals. This deliberate deception, the terrible secret “hidden in plain sight,” is maintained internationally across cultures, and it surely represents one of the biggest and most destructive lies ever told (Figure 1). How has this utter chemtrail-caused destruction been allowed to happen? Are people blind? Do they not look up? Do they not question?



Figure 1. Chemtrails with photographers' permission. Clockwise from upper left: New York, New York, USA (Mementosis); Warrington, Cheshire, UK (Catherine Singleton); Alderney, UK looking toward France (Neil Howard); Luxembourg (Paul Berg)

It is hard to imagine that most people cannot recognize a normal blue sky or a natural cloud compared to the jet-sprayed material that fans-out to leave a milky haze across the sky. We are both told and expected to believe that we are not seeing what we are seeing. Indeed, the truly deceived can be shown a chemtrail and will respond "conspiracy theory." The success of this pervasive deception can be explained by mass formation psychosis, a type of hypnosis of the masses that leads to an extreme narrowing of attention and makes people only see what is indicated by a the "official" narrative.

According to Professor Mattias Desmet [1], mass formation refers to the process of the formation of a crowd or mass, a phenomenon studied for over one hundred years. "*Once you understand the basic mechanisms through which individual's personality is in the grip of the opinion of other people, you understand the elementary mechanisms at work in the enormous psychological processes that are happening when a mass emerges in a society.*" He argues that the psychological process of mass formation has grown stronger over the past two centuries and it eventually leads to totalitarian thinking and the emergence of totalitarian states. Mass formation psychosis explains Hitler's Germany, Stalin's Russia, and the "witch hunts" in Europe and America in the 16th and 17th centuries. The most glaring example is Germany in the 1930s and 1940s, where a civilized, highly educated, "liberal" population would "go crazy," and stand by as millions of their innocent countrymen were slaughtered. As Gustave Le Bon wrote in his influential book *The Crowd: A Study of the Popular Mind*, "*The masses have never thirsted after*

truth, whoever can supply them with illusions is easily their master, whoever attempts to destroy their illusions is always their victim” [2].

Desmet argues that totalitarianism is characterized by processes of large-scale mass formation. Four conditions needed for large scale mass formation include:

- A high percentage of people who feel alone and isolated,
- Many people who feel their lives are pointless or lack meaning,
- High levels of anxiety and fear, and
- High levels of frustration and aggression.

Under these conditions (prevalent in our current times), a narrative is distributed through the mass media which portrays a subject of anxiety (or threat) and provides a strategy to deal with this anxiety or threat. Since this strategy promises to reduce fear and anxiety, there is a great willingness to participate in this “solution to the problem.” At the same time, the field of attention gets narrower until it contains only the part of reality indicated by the narrative and people lose their capacity to recognize other aspects of reality [1].

In the case of chemtrails, the official narrative is that runaway global warming is caused by carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases and it has now become a dire emergency that can only be relieved by radical technological means IN THE FUTURE, like the spraying of a “sun-screen for Earth.” The hypnotized masses who are constantly bombarded with lies and misrepresentations cannot process totally contradictory information, e.g. the reality that the jet-spraying has been going on for decades and it is making the global warming unimaginably worse!

When people are inundated with a narrative that targets and describes an object of fear and anxiety, and a strategy for coping with it, then many people will band together to battle the perceived threat with a collective singlemindedness. This laser focus on the threat and its “solution,” relieves anxiety and the group becomes increasingly bonded and connected. Leaders of the movement may find they are worshipped and can do no wrong. A society or culture under the spell of mass formation will support a totalitarian governance structure capable of otherwise unthinkable actions in order to maintain compliance.

Another consequence of mass formation is the intolerance of dissenting voices. If someone claims the official narrative is false and new information threatens to wake people up, they may be confronted by their previous fears and anxiety and direct their aggression at the dissenting individual and/or his or her viewpoint. Although it is extremely difficult to wake up the masses, at least speaking out and exposing the truth may help prevent some of the biggest crimes. Some leaders or dictators may be hypnotized themselves and believe their own lies, but that isn’t the case in the modern technological state. In this instance the globalist controllers cannot help but be fully aware of the ongoing, covert “climate intervention” operations taking place around the world.

Dr. Robert Malone, one of the developers of the messenger RNA (mRNA) platforms, has recently suggested that mass formation hypnosis has been employed to push the COVID-19 narrative [3]. He claims that with mass hypnosis a large percentage of the population is completely unable

to process emerging scientific data and individuals have been lied to and misled about the effectiveness and adverse effects of mandatory mask use, lockdowns, and the new genetic vaccines (e.g. mRNA vaccines) that cause people's bodies to make the potentially toxic coronavirus spike protein. He says that too many people have blindly accepted experimental vaccines that lacked adequate testing and that were administered without proper informed consent. It has become evident that vaccines do not work as we were told (especially against new COVID variants) and they are not "safe and effective."

Psychological manipulation of the media and Big Tech has consistently distorted the facts and increasingly authoritarian governments have been willing to impose harsh COVID-19 restrictions and mandates. As first revealed by author and journalist Laura Dodsworth, scientists in the United Kingdom admitted using "*unethical*" and "*totalitarian*" methods in order to instill fear in the populations to control their behavior [4]. In a coordinated propaganda and censorship response, the BBC-led Trusted News Initiative, the "Scientific Elite," the transnational investment funds and their World Economic Forum allies which control Big Pharma use crowd psychology tools to advance their agendas on a global scale.

Genetically modified plants, animals, and microbes are complimentary to chemtrail alteration of the environment in the same way that bio-warfare is related to global environmental warfare [5]. The bulk of scientific evidence to date indicates that the chimeric structure and furin cleavage site of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) was the result of human manipulation [6]. SARS-CoV-2 is unique among other beta-coronaviruses not only in its furin site at the spike 1-2 junction, but also in the four amino acid codons that code for this site. These findings of extreme low probability strongly suggest the furin cleavage site was inserted into the virus genome in a controlled manner [7, 8]. Furthermore, genomic evidence indicates that the receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 was genetically altered, and strongly resembles that of the original SARS-CoV-1. The RBD within the spike protein determines the host specificity of the virus.

Dating back to 2008, Ralph Baric and others showed that infectious recombinant viruses could be created in the lab by replacing a bat coronavirus spike receptor binding domain with the SARS-CoV-1 RBD [9]. Scientific studies confirm that both cleavage site and specific RBD can result from so-called site directed mutagenesis, a procedure that may not leave a trace [10]. Synthetically generating potential pre-emergent coronaviruses (i.e. in gain-of-function experiments) was a declared goal of federal grants (e.g. from the National Institutes of Health – NIH) funneled through the Eco Health Alliance, which in turn funded research at the Institute of Virology in Wuhan, China in collaboration with laboratories in the U.S. and elsewhere [11].

Patents relating to SARS-type coronavirus structure, spike protein, treatment, and vaccines between 2002 and 2020 indicate a foreknowledge of the COVID-19 pandemic. Among the many patents on SARS coronaviruses identified by Dr. David Martin, one is from Dr. Ralph Baric (University of North Carolina) in 2002 (U.S. Patent No. 7,279,327) for "an infectious, replication defective, coronavirus particle that specifically targets lung epithelial cells." The U.S. Centers for Disease Control filed a patent in 2003 for the entire gene sequence for the original SARS coronavirus (U.S. Patent No. 7,220,852) and later patents for the gene sequence of SARS coronavirus and the means for detecting it using RT PCR testing. The CDC secured these patents after appeal in 2007. All told, there were over 70 patents issued for unique features of SARS

coronavirus and its spike protein before 2019 [12]. Under the Bayh-Dole act, the U.S. government is entitled to benefit from research they have funded [13]. It has recently come to light that a 2018 Moderna patent (U.S. Patent No. 9,587,003) was for a “cancer gene,” (MSH3) found in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. In the words of a recent scientific article, “a BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) search revealed that a 19 nucleotide portion of the SARS-CoV-2 genome encompassing the furin cleavage site is a 100% complementary match to a codon-optimized proprietary sequence that is the reverse complement of the human mutS homolog (MSH3).” Researchers estimate the chance of this happening naturally is less than one in a trillion [14]. It is noteworthy that the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) and Moderna entered into an agreement in 2019 to co-develop coronavirus vaccines before the identification and spread of COVID-19 [15].

Just how “safe” are the new COVID-19 genetic vaccines? The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in the U.S. shows that serious reactions and deaths after COVID-19 vaccination exceed all other vaccines combined since 1990 [16]. A freedom of information (FOIA) request revealed that over 1200 deaths and thousands of severe reactions were reported from the Pfizer vaccine during the first three months of its use [17]. COVID vaccines do not deliver virus, but rather the RNA blueprint to make spike protein. SARS-CoV2 spike protein circulates after vaccination [18], and it is likely responsible for the serious reactions and deaths after COVID-19 vaccination [19]. The spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 virus alone (by itself) can damage vascular endothelial cells by downregulating ACE2 receptors and consequently inhibiting mitochondrial function [20]. Vaccine induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia and cerebral venous sinus thrombosis are known rare adverse reactions to viral vectored COVID vaccines [21]. A recombinant spike protein alone (without the virus) can elicit functional changes in heart vascular pericytes which may explain the complication of myocarditis after vaccination with mRNA COVID-19 vaccines [22].

How effective are COVID vaccines? The “vaccine only” approach to the pandemic has not proven scientific nor has it controlled the pandemic. While COVID-19 vaccines thus far may protect against more acute, serious illness, they do not prevent infection or transmission of the virus [23, 24]. Attempts to develop vaccines to the original SARS virus failed due to antibody dependent enhancement (ADE), which is associated with waning antibodies over time [25]. The significant risk of ADE should have been and should be disclosed to vaccine recipients [26]. Changes in the antigenic determinants on SARS-CoV-2 virus spike protein are a possible cause of antibody-dependent enhancement of virus infection [27]. Facilitating or enhancing (i.e. non-neutralizing) antibodies have been shown to have increased affinity for the potentially deadly COVID Delta variant [28]. For COVID-Omicron, neutralizing epitopes are greatly affected, suggesting that current vaccines will offer little protection against these newer variants [29]. “Leaky” vaccines that allow ongoing transmission can create conditions that promote the emergence of pathogen strains that evade antibody protection and pose risks to vaccinated, unvaccinated, and previously infected alike [30]. Mass vaccination during a pandemic promotes viral resistance to COVID-19, which drives enhanced infectiousness and ultimately enables the virus to utilize alternative methods to enter and infect permissive cells. Prevailing COVID-19 variants can be quantitatively explained by infectivity-strengthening and vaccine-induced evolutionary pressure. There is therefore an urgent need to develop new virus combating strategies [31].

SARS-CoV-2 infection presents as a broad clinical spectrum, ranging from asymptomatic or mild disease to life-threatening and fatal disease. Disease severity is largely determined by the host immunological response. Anti-spike IgG antibodies neutralize virus entry into the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2)-bearing cells, but can enhance virus internalization into macrophages, monocytes and B-cells by an IgG/FcγRII-dependent mechanism [32] (Figure 2).

SARS-CoV-2 antibodies may drive an inflammatory response through the classical complement pathway and via cellular immune-complex activation. The combination of antibody-mediated complement activation and subsequent cellular priming could result in severe COVID-19 disease [33]. There is accumulating evidence that COVID-19 is a multi-system, antibody, and immune complex mediated disease. This emerging paradigm could be easily disproved or confirmed experimentally for both severe COVID disease and vaccine immunity [34, 35]. COVID-19 as an immune complex hypersensitivity in antigen excess conditions might occur in 3 phases:

- 1) A viral phase that is asymptomatic or weakly symptomatic,
- 2) An immune phase with antigen (virus) excess resulting in immune complex formation, and
- 3) A severe hemo-vascular phase with complement-mediated tissue damage.

Thus, COVID-19 could begin as a simple viral infection, develop as a diffuse immune complex hypersensitivity, and then, especially in vulnerable hosts, explode into a systemic hemo-vascular disease [36]. Soluble immune complexes composed of COVID-19 spike protein and related antibodies provoke plate activation and thrombosis like that seen in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia [37]. It has been shown that aberrant glycosylation of anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike IgG antibody is a prothrombotic stimulus for platelets [38].




Figure 1. ADE of SARS-CoV infection:
S-IgG neutralize virus entry into the ACE2-bearing cells (target cells), and enhance virus internalization into macrophages, monocytes and B cells through an IgG/FcγRII-dependent, ACE2 independent mechanism. ADE is elicited by an early and robust NAb response towards Th1 phenotype before viral clearance.

Figure 2. From [32]

COVID-19 vaccines to date “mis-train” the immune system to recognize only the spike protein of the original Wuhan strain of the virus. The currently used COVID vaccines are given by intramuscular injection and do not prevent infection or transmission from the nose and upper airways where mucosal immunity is important [39]. COVID “booster shots” not only do not provide protection from newer SARS-CoV-2 variants, they may pose risks of ADE and dose-related toxicity from the spike protein. Early and/or non-neutralizing antibodies bind to the virus and the resulting virus-containing immune complexes are taken up by Fc receptors on monocytes and macrophages. Newer strains of SARS-CoV-2 like the currently dominant Omicron group (BA1 and BA2) utilize different cell receptors including the Fc receptors and are thus able to “hijack” immune cells and travel throughout the body. SARS-CoV-2 virus is found in multiple organ systems and vascular endothelia in autopsy studies [40]. In this regard a hallmark of immune complex disease is viral persistence [41]. Recent studies show the persistence of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in immune cells many months after infection, and/or vaccination [42]. While COVID Omicron strains thus far cause “milder disease,” viral persistence is likely to lead to later health complications and a significant contribution to underlying disease. It has become evident that COVID-19 can result in long-term symptoms, post-acute sequelae, and various residual effects in many “recovered” individuals [43, 44]. It is particularly disturbing that imaging studies show brain damage even after some mild SARS-CoV-2 infections [45]. The newer highly mutated Omicron family of variants are highly contagious and highly immune evasive. While they often cause asymptomatic or mild disease, they may represent “Silent Killers,” capable of spreading globally and causing more severe disease over time, especially with repeated exposure.

Air pollution is the greatest killer of our age and a major contributor to non-communicable disease [46]. A growing body of evidence suggests that air pollution is an important cofactor in the spread and severity of COVID-19. As we have shown, ultrafine combustion-type particles, like those in coal fly ash, have major effects on the world’s cloud layer, the hydrological cycle, and global warming. The magnetic, iron-bearing pollution particles found in human tissue match those in coal fly ash. The near-universal contamination of human tissue and cells with ultrafine pollution particles produces toxicity primarily by mitochondrial dysfunction and endoplasmic reticulum stress [47]. COVID-19 and its spike protein cause similar toxicity on the subcellular level [48]. Iron dysregulation (caused by misplaced or excessive reactive iron) characterizes many chronic illnesses and severe COVID-19 infections [49]. The pandemics of air pollution, non-communicable disease, and COVID-19 are thus all interrelated and intertwined.

As described in a recently published book [50], Figure 3, chemtrails, evidenced as consisting mainly of coal fly ash, the waste product of coal-burning, is a toxic nightmare that mixes with the air we breathe. Aerosolized coal fly ash is a significant risk factor for COPD and respiratory disease [51], lung cancer [52], and neurodegenerative disease [53], and is potentially a major agent in the globally-catastrophic demise of insects [54], bats [55], birds [56], and forests [57] as well as exacerbating increases in harmful algae in water bodies [58], poisoning the environment with mercury [59], and destroying the ozone layer that protects life from harmful solar ultraviolet radiation [60]. These converging catastrophes represent an existential threat to humanity, especially in the wider context of the numerous anthropogenic threats to the biosphere. The global nature of these problems shows they can only be tackled by international cooperation. Complacency about the dangers of air pollution and the deadly “code of silence,”

specifically regarding ongoing “covert” chemtrails, must be broken if the world is to have any chance of controlling these public health emergencies.




Figure 3. Cover image of a recently published book, available from amazon.com [50]

Modern science and medicine are based on what is the greatest “false paradigm” of all, the biochemical-mechanistic conception of life. The technological explosion over the past century reinforced the notion that “*magic bullets*” would cure disease and solve most of the world’s problems. The discovery of DNA as the basis of heredity strengthened the Darwinian concept of natural selection and unfortunately reduced living organisms to the composition of their DNA base pairs. Dr. Robert Becker (who proved the existence of bioelectricity) outlines “*three wrong turns*” taken by the technological revolution [61]:

- The exclusion of electromagnetism from the study of life,
- The reduction of life to chemical machinery, and
- The broad acceptance of technological advances without questioning their biological effects.

These disastrous wrong turns have led to a situation where global ecosystems are collapsing, life’s support systems (for example, the stratospheric ozone layer) are threatened, our health is declining, and new plagues are upon us. Our unrestricted use of electromagnetic energy has produced a global environment hazardous to human life [62]. The chemical-mechanistic doctrine has created a complex web of interrelationships among scientists, physicians, Big

Pharma, Big Tech, and government. One of the main supports for legitimacy in modern cultures is scientific and technological expertise. When scientists and government officials agree on a policy or practice (or “consensus”), this provides persuasive justification for their actions [63]. Any attempts to inform the public about the grave hazards of, for example, ongoing chemtrail operations, is met with suppression or disinformation [64]. Digital and social media offer new tools for expanding state surveillance and control of the “official narrative” [65].

Why the deception? Why the deceit? Why the destruction? What is the endgame?

Eight years ago, when we first began to be concerned about chemtrails, it was easy to believe that the U.S. military was engaged in perfecting the techniques of weather warfare. After all, in 1996 the U.S. Air Force had published a document entitled “*Weather as a Force Multiplier: Owning the Weather by 2025*” [66]. Moreover, in 1997 Secretary of Defense William Cohen directly stated [67]: “*Others are engaging...in an eco-type terrorism whereby they can alter the climate, set off earthquakes, [and] volcanoes remotely through the use of electromagnetic waves....It's real, and that's the reason why we have to intensify our efforts.*”

Historically, the military has exhibited little or no concern for the health and wellbeing of its own citizens when what it perceives as “national security” goals are at stake. During the 1950s and 1960s, more than one hundred nuclear devices were detonated above ground in Nevada (USA) [68]. Without being told of the potential health risks, thousands of military personnel were deliberately exposed to nuclear blasts, including “war game” maneuvers that took place directly beneath the atomic clouds [69, 70]. Nor were local residents clearly informed of the risks or provided with ways to minimize those risks [69]. Radioactive fallout occurred not only in the area near the nuclear blasts, but as winds propelled the radioactive cloud across the United States, fallout occurred along the paths, shown in Figure 4, that depended upon weather conditions.




Figure 4. U.S. Department of Energy image showing areas of the continental United States crossed by more than one nuclear cloud from aboveground detonations as indicated in black during the 1950s-1960s

Eventually, atmospheric nuclear aboveground testing came to an end, but only as the result of public outcry over news reports that bomb-produced radioactive strontium-90, found in cows' milk, posed dangers of being incorporated into the teeth and bones of infants and children especially [71]. That was a time when many in the scientific and medical communities were genuinely concerned for humanity, unlike the present.

There is no doubt that the U.S. military would capitalize on any technological advances perceived to be of benefit to its mission. However, evidence emerged that the underlying basis of the chemtrail activity was more widespread, and more diabolical. Chemtrail activity became a near-daily, near-global operation with many citizens voicing concern [72]. Not only are the chemtrail activities international, but allegedly commercial airlines are involved, not just military aircraft [73].

About the chemtrails, a strange *omertà* – the code of silence – has pervaded international officialdom, including the medical and scientific communities. Like the mainstream news media, atmospheric and climate scientists avoided considering the consequences of, or even mentioning, the very obvious atmospheric manipulation [74], and misleading claims were made that the aerial particulate-trails are just harmless ice-crystal contrails [64]. But the most disquieting pervasive *omertà* in our experience was, and is, perpetrated by the medical and public health community.

As credentialed professionals we have submitted for publication Commentaries and Perspectives warning of the global health risks of the tropospheric particulate aerial spraying to the *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, *The Lancet*, and *Environmental Health Perspectives*, the latter being a publication of the U.S. National Institutes of Health [75]. In each case our submission was rejected without benefit of peer-review.

One of us (JMH) submitted a manuscript to the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* [PNAS] entitled “New Evidence of Aerosolized Toxins in the Lower Atmosphere”. Instead of the manuscript being sent out for peer review, the PNAS Editorial Board flatly rejected the paper because it “lacked the broad appeal necessary for further consideration by the journal” [76].

There is a widespread, concerted effort, presumably made in conjunction with the intelligence community, to deceive the public and the scientific, medical and public health communities into believing the toxic particulate trails are harmless ice-crystal contrails [77]. Their operatives were responsible for coercing editors of public health journals to retract two peer-reviewed and published public health articles without ever allowing the author (JMH) to see and respond to their allegations [78, 79]. Moreover his reputation has been smeared on disinformation websites and his Wikipedia page marginalized and perverted. Deliberate public deception of the adverse health risks of the aerial particulate spraying is clear evidence that those who order the spraying do so knowing that the public's health will be jeopardized.

PSEUDO-LEGAL BASIS FOR CHEMTRAILS

We used forensic methodologies to determine the devastating consequences of chemtrails on human and environmental health based upon numerous publications in the peer-reviewed scientific and medical literature. Amid the intense degree of secrecy, for a number of years one

question perplexed us and reviewers as well: What is the legal basis for chemtrail activities? Then we made that discovery.

Environmental warfare was documented during the Viet Nam War with the wide-spread use of the chemical defoliant, Agent Orange [80], and with cloud seeding operations to cause additional rainfall over the Ho Chi Minh Trail to impede movement of troops and supplies [81]. Nevertheless, unlike nuclear warfare, which previously had been released from Pandora's Box [82], environmental warfare was yet in its infancy. Preventing environmental warfare was an idea people everywhere might willingly embrace. But as we revealed [83], the hope of preventing environmental warfare was the key for realizing a means to co-opt sovereign nations into waging covert, highly destructive environmental warfare against their own citizens. The means involved deceiving leaders of sovereign nations into signing onto a deceptively-worded "*Trojan horse*" international treaty, sometimes referred to as ENMOD [84]. The United Nations international treaty, "Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques," through concerted efforts by the United States and the Soviet Union [85] was entered into force on October 5, 1978 [84].

The following are highlights of a legal critique of ENMOD [84] published previously [83].

Logically, the term "environmental modification techniques", that which is being prohibited, should be defined *prior* to its prohibition. But that is not the case here. The term "environmental modification techniques", is defined quite precisely and in the broadest possible terms in Article II, which states: "*As used in article 1, the term "environmental modification techniques" refers to any technique for changing – through the deliberate manipulation of natural processes – the dynamics, composition or structure of the Earth, including its biota, lithosphere, hydrosphere and atmosphere, or of outer space.*"

The "prohibitive terminology" Article I is not prohibitive at all as it uses the non-binding phrase "*undertakes not to*" instead of the prohibitive "*shall not*" which would carry the force of law.

Article III actually mandates compliance on activities not connected in any way with the subject expressed by the ENMOD [84] title. It is a Trojan horse: Article III deceptively mandates environmental modification not at all indicated by its title:

- Article III, Section 1, by the use of shall, mandates that there *shall* be no prohibition whatsoever on the use of environmental modification techniques "*for peaceful purposes.*"
- Article III Section 2, although confusingly written, is clearly understandable when some of the unessential words are removed: "*States Parties... shall contribute, alone or together with other States or international organizations, to...co-operation in the preservation, improvement and peaceful utilization of the environment.*"

ENMOD does not prohibit environmental warfare, but instead *mandates* environmental modification within the range and domain described by Article II that is not connected by intent with its title. Moreover, ENMOD fails to define "*international organizations*" or "*peaceful*" or "*improvement of the environment.*" Furthermore, ENMOD does not specify the purpose, nature, time, cost, level of commitment, and the risks to human health and to environmental harm to

which all humanity may be subject (Figure 5) via mandated “contributions” from “States Parties.” Moreover, and significantly, any modification of Earth’s natural environment, vis-à-vis Article II, cannot be peaceful [50], as it disrupts the delicate balance by and between myriad biota and their environments [86, 87].




Figure 5. A public domain image showing the January 3, 2018 distribution of ENMOD signers. Adapted from [83]

The United Nations international treaty, “Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques,” entered into force on October 5, 1978 [84], a masterwork of artful deception, was constructed to provide a pseudo-legal basis for systematically destroying human and environmental health. The United Nations is a culpable party:

- Providing the pseudo-legal basis for poisoning humanity and the environment
- Soliciting funds for evaluating fossil-fuel combustion-caused global warming while causing global warming by chemtrail particle pollution
- Suppressing public health warnings submitted to the *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*

Likewise culpable are the government officials, directors and officers of participating corporations, and others who aid and abet the chemtrail poisoning of the air we breathe and deceiving the public of the risks. These are the modern *untermenchen*, *sub-humans*, *heathens* – those with utter disregard for human and environmental health and the freedoms we hold precious.

For years, since publication in 2015 of the first scientific article evidencing the coal fly ash composition and adverse health threats of chemtrails [88], we wondered what kind of monsters would deliberately poison the air. But over the last two years, the goals, ambitions, and motives, once concealed, became in-your-face evident: Chemtrails were simply one diabolical part of a concerted effort to destroy freedom and to enslave or destroy humanity.

The following quotes, from a referenced essay by Gary D. Barnett [89] entitled “What Will You Do When There Is No Food?”, capture the essence of those once-concealed revelations.

“In a world such as this, where every freedom is being relinquished, where every aspect of life is being controlled, where every state threat is a lie, where every truth is avoided; what will become of man? ...

Today, people are awaiting their next order, they are awaiting the next false-flag ‘emergency;’ they are awaiting permission to live free. They are doing this without much resistance, mainly because resistance requires courage and an acceptance of truth, and of course, self-responsibility for one’s own being. While this mass apathy runs rampant, the evil that is the controlling class is plotting to build a global governing system meant to take control of every aspect of life as we know it. They have even named it the ‘New World Order,’ or now the ‘Great Reset.’ They are doing this openly, and in full view of all. The plots of the powerful, those like Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum, the entire Davos crowd, all the top political classes and governments, including presidents and rulers worldwide, the insanely rich whores that control banking, huge corporate entities, agriculture, medical care, pharmaceuticals, and technology, are all on board with this attempted takeover of humanity.

Of course, those who cling to the state narrative, and the state supporting ‘fact checkers’ who protect that narrative, will call foul on all those exposing truth, and given the gullibility of the masses, most will not understand what is going on until it is too late....

Hell is coming, and famine is coming with it. The same situation occurred during the Great Depression, but this time it will likely be much worse, as the takeover of society, monetary chaos and digitization, and the depopulation agenda are gaining steam daily at this point. What will you do to protect yourself and your family? What will you do when you cannot get enough food? This world is embroiled in corruption and insanity, and has become a totalitarian hell. When will you say enough is enough, and will you stand your ground, or will you do nothing until it is too late?”

It is time, not only to stand your ground, but to join with other freedom-loving citizens to engage in legal means to extricate these monsters from their freedom and from their assets. If we are to have a viable future on this planet, a critical mass of humanity must wake up to the atrocities of the ongoing chemtrail operations. People must break out of their mass formation hypnosis and distractions, start to look up, and bear witness to the terrible suffering of the natural world around us. We are not separate entities from the rest of the biosphere: if the forests die, the wildlife dies, and the oceans die, we too will die. Our time is short – action is urgently needed now to salvage what remains of our natural life support systems and give our children a healthy and viable future.

References

1. Desmet, M., *The Psychology of Totalitarianism*. 2022, White River Junction, VT (USA): Chelsea Green Publishing.
2. Le Bon, G., *The crowd: A study of the popular mind*. London: T. Fisher Unwin. Vol. 18. 1895, Atlanta, GA (USA): Cherokee Publishing Company, Atlanta 1982. 5.
3. Malone, R., *On mass formation psychosis: How is a third of the population being hypnotized? Real Clear Politics* <https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2022/01/02/2022>.
4. Dodsworth, L., *A State of Fear: How the UK government weaponized fear during the COVID-19 pandemic – Pinter and Martin Ltd*. 5/21 - 2021.
5. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *Nature as a Weapon of Global War*. 2021, Worldwide: Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing https://www.amazon.com/dp/B09KN2LFXL/ref=tmm_pap_swatch_0?_encoding=UTF8&qid=1636027677&r=8-1
6. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *Viral environmental warfare: Technology Bill of Rights critically needed*. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 2021. **8**(11): p. 1-19.
7. Coutard, B., et al., *The spike glycoprotein of the new coronavirus 2019-nCoV contains a furin-like cleavage site absent in CoV of the same clade*. *Antiviral research*, 2020. **176**: p. 104742.
8. Romeu, A.R. and E. Ollé, *SARS-CoV-2 and the Secret of the Furin Site*. 2021.
9. Becker, M.M., et al., *Synthetic recombinant bat SARS-like coronavirus is infectious in cultured cells and in mice*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2008. **105**(50): p. 19944-19949.
10. Donaldson, E.F., A.C. Sims, and R.S. Baric, *Systematic assembly and genetic manipulation of the mouse hepatitis virus A59 genome, in SARS and Other Coronaviruses*. 2008, Springer. p. 293-315.
11. Segreto, R. and Y. Deigin, *The genetic structure of SARS-CoV-2 does not rule out a laboratory origin: SARS-CoV-2 chimeric structure and furin cleavage site might be the result of genetic manipulation*. *BioEssays*, 2021. **43**(3): p. 2000240.
12. Mercola, J., <https://www.citizensjournal.us/patents-prove-sars-cov-2-is-a-manufactured-virus/>
13. Schacht, W.H. *The Bayh-Dole act: selected issues in patent policy and the commercialization of technology*. 2009. Library of Congress Washington DC Congressional Research Service.
14. Ambati, B.K., et al., *MSH3 Homology and Potential Recombination Link to SARS-CoV-2 Furin Cleavage Site*. *Frontiers in Virology*, 2022: p. 10.
15. Gavia, M. and B. Kilic, *A network analysis of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine patents*. 2021, Nature Publishing Group.
16. VAERS, *VAERS Summary for COVID-19 vaccines through 2/25/22 – from data on VAERS website – accessed at* <https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data> 2022.
17. <https://phmp.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/5.3.6-postmarketing-experience.pdf>
18. Ogata, A.F., et al., *Circulating severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccine antigen detected in the plasma of mRNA-1273 vaccine recipients*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2022. **74**(4): p. 715-718.
19. Theoharides, T. and P. Conti, *Be aware of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: There is more than meets the eye*. *J. Biol. Regul. Homeost. Agents*, 2021. **35**: p. 833-838.
20. Lei, Y., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 spike protein impairs endothelial function via downregulation of ACE 2*. *Circulation research*, 2021. **128**(9): p. 1323-1326.
21. Sharifian-Dorche, M., et al., *Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia and cerebral venous sinus thrombosis post COVID-19 vaccination; a systematic review*. *Journal of the neurological sciences*, 2021. **428**: p. 117607.

22. Avolio, E., et al., *The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein disrupts human cardiac pericytes function through CD147 receptor-mediated signalling: a potential non-infective mechanism of COVID-19 microvascular disease*. Clinical Science, 2021. **135**(24): p. 2667-2689.
23. Brown, C.M., et al., *Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 infections, including COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections, associated with large public gatherings—Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021*. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 2021. **70**(31): p. 1059.
24. Chau, N.V.V., et al., *Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant among vaccinated healthcare workers, Vietnam*. 2021.
25. Xu, L., et al., *Antibody dependent enhancement: Unavoidable problems in vaccine development*. Advances in immunology, 2021. **151**: p. 99-133.
26. Cardozo, T. and R. Veazey, *Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vaccines worsening clinical disease*. International journal of clinical practice, 2021. **75**(3): p. e13795.
27. Nechipurenko, Y.D., A. Anashkina, and O. Matveeva, *Change of antigenic determinants of SARS-CoV-2 virus S-protein as a possible cause of antibody-dependent enhancement of virus infection and cytokine storm*. Biophysics, 2020. **65**(4): p. 703-709.
28. Yahi, N., H. Chahinian, and J. Fantini, *Infection-enhancing anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies recognize both the original Wuhan/D614G strain and Delta variants. A potential risk for mass vaccination?* Journal of Infection, 2021.
29. Fantini, J., et al., *The puzzling mutational landscape of the SARS-2-variant Omicron*. Journal of medical virology, 2022.
30. Read, A.F., et al., *Imperfect vaccination can enhance the transmission of highly virulent pathogens*. PLoS biology, 2015. **13**(7): p. e1002198.
31. Wang, R., et al., *Emerging vaccine-breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 variants*. ACS infectious diseases, 2022. **8**(3): p. 546-556.
32. Guastalegname, M., L. D-argenio, and A. Vallone, *The occurrence of antibody dependent enhancement (ADE) in SARS-CoV-2 infection: implications for treatment*. Journal of Translational Science 2021. **7**: p. 1-6.
33. Jarlhelt, I., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies Mediate Complement and Cellular Driven Inflammation*. Frontiers in immunology, 2021. **12**.
34. Cowan, F.M. and C.C. Rories, *Is COVID-19 an immune complex disease and are billions vaccinated concomitantly dosed with an immunomodulatory effector-checkpoint viral mimetic Fc receptor?* Journal of Clinical and Experimental Immunology, 2021. **6**(2): p. 314.
35. Ankerhold, J., et al., *Circulating multimeric immune complexes drive immunopathology in COVID-19*. bioRxiv, 2021.
36. Manzo, G., *COVID-19 as an immune complex hypersensitivity in antigen excess conditions: theoretical pathogenetic process and suggestions for potential therapeutic interventions*. Frontiers in Immunology, 2020: p. 2665.
37. Jevtic, S. and I. Nazy, *The COVID Complex: A Review of Platelet Activation and Immune Complexes in COVID-19*. Front. Immunol. 13: 807934. doi: 10.3389/fimmu, 2022.
38. Bye, A.P., et al., *Aberrant glycosylation of anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike IgG is a prothrombotic stimulus for platelets*. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 2021. **138**(16): p. 1481-1489.
39. McGhee, J.R., et al., *The mucosal immune system: from fundamental concepts to vaccine development*. Vaccine, 1992. **10**(2): p. 75-88.
40. Yao, X.-H., et al., *A cohort autopsy study defines COVID-19 systemic pathogenesis*. Cell research, 2021. **31**(8): p. 836-846.
41. Oldstone, M.B., *Viral persistence*. Cell, 1989. **56**(4): p. 517-520.

42. Patterson, B.K., et al., *Persistence of SARS CoV-2 S1 protein in CD16+ monocytes in post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC) up to 15 months post-infection*. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 2022: p. 5526.
43. Cohen, K., et al., *Risk of persistent and new clinical sequelae among adults aged 65 years and older during the post-acute phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection: retrospective cohort study*. *bmj*, 2022. **376**.
44. Xie, Y., et al., *Long-term cardiovascular outcomes of COVID-19*. *Nature medicine*, 2022: p. 1-8.
45. Douaud, G., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK Biobank*. *Nature*, 2022: p. 1-17.
46. Friedrich, M., *Air Pollution Is Greatest Environmental Threat to Health*. *JAMA*, 2018. **319**(11): p. 1085-1085.
47. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *COVID-19, immunopathology, particulate pollution, and iron balance*. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*, 2020. **32**(18): p. 43-60.
48. Versteeg, G.A., et al., *The coronavirus spike protein induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and upregulation of intracellular chemokine mRNA concentrations*. *Journal of virology*, 2007. **81**(20): p. 10981-10990.
49. Gupta, Y., et al., *Iron dysregulation in COVID-19 and reciprocal evolution of SARS-CoV-2: Natura nihil frustra facit*. *Journal of cellular biochemistry*, 2022.
50. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *Chemtrails are not Contrails: The Face of Evil*. 2022: Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing https://www.amazon.com/dp/B09X49TGWB?ref_=pe_3052080_397514860
51. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for COPD and respiratory disease*. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*, 2018. **26**(7): p. 1-13.
52. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Coal fly ash aerosol: Risk factor for lung cancer*. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*, 2018. **25**(4): p. 1-10.
53. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for neurodegenerative disease*. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*, 2018. **25**(10): p. 1-11.
54. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Previously unacknowledged potential factors in catastrophic bee and insect die-off arising from coal fly ash geoengineering* *Asian J. Biol.*, 2018. **6**(4): p. 1-13.
55. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *Unacknowledged potential factors in catastrophic bat die-off arising from coal fly ash geoengineering*. *Asian Journal of Biology*, 2019. **8**(4): p. 1-13.
56. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Aerosolized coal fly ash: A previously unrecognized primary factor in the catastrophic global demise of bird populations and species*. *Asian J. Biol.*, 2018. **6**(4): p. 1-13.
57. Herndon, J.M., D.D. Williams, and M. Whiteside, *Previously unrecognized primary factors in the demise of endangered torrey pines: A microcosm of global forest die-offs*. *J. Geog. Environ. Earth Sci. Intern.* , 2018. **16**(4): p. 1-14.
58. Whiteside, M. and J.M. Herndon, *Role of aerosolized coal fly ash in the global plankton imbalance: Case of Florida's toxic algae crisi*. *Asian Journal of Biology*, 2019. **8**(2): p. 1-24.
59. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *Contamination of the biosphere with mercury: Another potential consequence of on-going climate manipulation using aerosolized coal fly ash* *J. Geog. Environ. Earth Sci. Intern.*, 2017. **13**(1): p. 1-11.
60. Herndon, J.M., R.D. Hoisington, and M. Whiteside, *Deadly ultraviolet UV-C and UV-B penetration to Earth's surface: Human and environmental health implications*. *J. Geog. Environ. Earth Sci. Intern.*, 2018. **14**(2): p. 1-11.
61. Becker, R.O., *Cross currents: The perils of electropollution, the promise of electromedicine*. Los Angeles, CA: Jeremy P. Tarcher, 1990.
62. Becker, R.O., G. Selden, and D. Bichell, *The body electric: Electromagnetism and the foundation of life*. 1985.
63. Martin, B., *Suppression of dissent in science. Teoksessa Freudenburg, WR & Youn, TI K. Research in social problems and public policy*, 1999. **7**: p. 105-135.
64. Shearer, C., et al., *Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program*. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2016. **11**(8): p. p. 084011.

65. Earl, J., T.V. Maher, and J. Pan, *The digital repression of social movements, protest, and activism: A synthetic review*. Science Advances, 2022. **8**(10): p. eabl8198.
66. House, T.J., et al., *Weather as a Force Multiplier: Owning the Weather in 2025*. US Air Force, 1996. <https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a333462.pdf>
67. Cohen, W., *Address by Defense Secretary Cohen: Terrorism, Weapons of Mass Destruction, and U. S. Strategy*, in *Sam Nunn Policy Forum, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, April 28, 1997*. 1997.
68. Miller, R.L., *Under the Cloud: The Decades of Nuclear Testing*. 1991, Woodlands, Texas: Two-Sixty Press.
69. Fradkin, P.L., *Fallout: An American Nuclear Tragedy*. 2004, Boulder, Colorado: Johnson Books.
70. Institute of Medicine, N.R.C., *Exposure of the American people to iodine-131 from Nevada nuclear-bomb tests: Review of the national cancer institute report and public health implications*. 1999, National Academy Press: Washington, DC.
71. Reiss, L.Z., *Strontium-90 absorption by deciduous teeth*. Science, 1961. **134**(3491): p. 1669-1673.
72. <http://www.nuclearplanet.com/websites.pdf>
73. Herndon, J.M. and M. Whiteside, *California wildfires: Role of undisclosed atmospheric manipulation and geoengineering*. J. Geog. Environ. Earth Sci. Intn., 2018. **17**(3): p. 1-18.
74. Stocker, T., et al., *IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1535 pp*. 2013, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York.
75. <http://www.nuclearplanet.com/whorej.pdf>
76. Herndon, J.M., *An open letter to members of AGU, EGU, and IPCC alleging promotion of fake science at the expense of human and environmental health and comments on AGU draft geoengineering position statement*. New Concepts in Global Tectonics Journal, 2017. **5**(3): p. 413-416.
77. http://www.nuclearplanet.com/Public_Deception_by_Scientists.html
78. http://www.nuclearplanet.com/public_rejection.pdf
79. <http://www.nuclearplanet.com/explainretractions.pdf>
80. Stellman, J.M., et al., *The extent and patterns of usage of Agent Orange and other herbicides in Vietnam*. Nature, 2003. **422**(6933): p. 681-687.
81. Norman, C., *Pentagon admits Vietnam rainmaking*. Nature, 1974. **249**(5456): p. 402-402.
82. Gallagher, C., *American Ground Zero: The Secret Nuclear War*. 1993, Random House: New York.
83. Herndon, J.M., M. Whiteside, and I. Baldwin, *The ENMOD treaty and the sanctioned assault on agriculture and human and environmental health*. Agrotechnology, 2020. **9**(191): p. 1-9.
84. <http://www.un-documents.net/enmod.htm>
85. Juda, L., *Negotiating a treaty on environmental modification warfare: the convention on environmental warfare and its impact upon arms control negotiations*. International Organization, 1978. **32**(4): p. 975-991.
86. Lovelock, J. and L. Margulis, *The Gaia Hypothesis*. 2007, New York.
87. Lovelock, J.E. and L. Margulis, *Atmospheric homeostasis by and for the biosphere: the Gaia hypothesis*. Tellus, 1974. **26**(1-2): p. 2-10.
88. Herndon, J.M., *Aluminum poisoning of humanity and Earth's biota by clandestine geoengineering activity: implications for India*. Curr. Sci., 2015. **108**(12): p. 2173-2177.
89. <https://www.lewrockwell.com/2022/04/gary-d-barnett/what-will-you-do-when-there-is-no-food/>